### A UDP-based

## multipath application:

#### mpmosh

Matthieu Boutier Juliusz Chroboczek

Laboratoire PPS - Université Paris Diderot boutier@pps.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Wireless Battle of the Mesh v8

6 August 2015

## Multihoming, multipath



(local host choice)

- $\rightarrow$  higher layers can do multipath:
  - transport (MPTCP)
  - application

## Multipath TCP

- Compatible multipath replacement of TCP
  - provides reliability,
  - provides performances (load balancing).

	Application (7)
>	Transport (4)
	Network (3)
	•••

Everything works out-of-the box *with source-specific routing*:

 $\rightarrow$  (just rebuild your kernel...)

# Multipath at application layer: motivations

But (MP)**TCP** will be clever at your place:

- retransmissions,
- sends keepalives, and may timeout the connection,
- optimizes throughput, can't be tweaked for a particular application.

$\rightarrow$	Application (7)
	Transport (4)
	Network (3)
	•••

Advantages of the application layer:

- More flexibility: choose what to retransmit,
- Keep control on the traffic sent,
- Be smarter: optimize delay, throughput,... (application dependant problem)
- Experimenting new stuff,
- (don't need to rebuild your kernel!)

## Multipath application with UDP

It is possible with:

#### sendmsg

Now, a few details...

#### The mobile shell (mosh) *Keith Winstein*

Mosh is a « replacement for SSH » (mosh.mit.edu)

Mosh is a lightweight interactive application.

Mosh is robust:

→ allows roaming, (client address or port switching)

→ supports intermittent connectivity, (will not timeout without your consent)

→ resilient to packet loss (mosh doesn't care)

## Mosh in a multipath environment



Mosh makes no differences between paths:  $\rightarrow$  3G is as good as the fiber (eh!)

Mosh will not roam if the address is not gone  $\rightarrow$  even if there is no more connection

Mosh uses one socket, and one remote address: it will not rebind its socket to a different server's address.

→ no IPv4 / IPv6 roaming

Mosh will not try to increase its performances with multiple paths

 $\rightarrow$  two bad paths may be combined

## Mosh: an interactive application

Objective: minimize latency (RTT).

MP-mosh measures the RTT of the different paths using probes.

MP-mosh distinguish 2 kinds of packets:

- data packets: contains actual mosh data, (transit on The selected path)
- probes: only used for path estimation. *(transit on other paths)*



Mosh already provides classical RTT computation. MP-mosh uses the same mechanism with probes.

## The RTT never decreases !!!

The RTT doesn't decrease when the connection is lost: if the best path break, all data packets will be lost!

- evaluate the RTO (Retransmission TimeOut) as in TCP,
- based on this, evaluate the idle time,
- the remote may delayed acknowledgements, *(takes this into account)*
- integrate this to the event-loop.

Probes have a little overhead: 9 B/s for idle paths, 180 B/s max on active paths.

## Mosh: a lightweight application

« Why not just duplicating data on all paths? »

 $\rightarrow$  increase performances on lossy paths,

→ increase useless overhead on good paths, (I disagree to pay for nothing)

MP-mosh evaluates path loss ratio:

- uses a slicing window (64 packets),
- differentiates loss from reordering,
- sends back loss ratio to the remote peer.

Then duplicates on "some" paths.





## MP-mosh sending procedure



## Conclusion

MP-mosh is an application designed for host-centric multihomed networks, with source-specific routing.

MP-mosh uses (very) lightweight probes estimate the RTT and loss ratio of each paths and duplicates to achieve a minimum reliability.

Perhaps a good basis for a library, but: what if duplicated packets goes to the same bottleneck? what should we change for peer to peer applications?