### Preliminary experiments with Multipath-TCP VPNs

**Claudio** Pisa

clauz@ninux.org @cl4u2

Wireless BattleMesh v8 Maribor 2015



# Multipath TCP

- RFC 6824 TCP Extensions for Multipath Operation with Multiple Addresses
  - Use multiple paths to:
    - Improve resource usage
    - Improve resilience to network failure

 Needs to be supported on the connection endpoints

# 





### Ninux Rome – (self-provided) IPv6

2001:4c00:893b <mark>:b055::/</mark> 64	Subnet Emix (Libera non specificata)
2001:4c00:893b:ba3::/64	Subnet Nodo BAM
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:baba::</mark> /64	Subnet Pierluigi S.Nemesio
2001:4c00:893b:bb::/64	Subet LuX Acacie
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:bee::/6</mark> 4	Matteo zip zap Grottaferrata test x MOBILE IPV6
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:beef::/</mark> 64	Subnet Niccolo - GilgaMesh
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:c0ca::/</mark> 64	Subnet Emix emixDelta Gibilmanna
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:clc0::/</mark> 64	Subnet SansPapiers
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:caca::/</mark> 64	Subnet Stefano Consoli
2001:4c00:893b:cacb::/64	Hotspot Stefano
2001:4c00:893b:caf3::/64	Subnet Clauz
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:cafe::/</mark> 64	Subnet Clauz tetto-balcone+hotspot
2001:4c00:893b:ca5a::/64	Subnet HispanicoHome
2001:4c00:893b:ccc2::/64	Subnet SigNodeHome
2001:4c00:893b:ccc3::/64	Subnet SigNodeGarden
2001:4c00:893b:cec0::/64	Subnet Cowabunga
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:d10::/6</mark> 4	Subnet Crucis
2001:4c00:893b:d102::/64	Subnet Domini
2001:4c00:893b:dad0::/64	Subnet Longinus
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:dead::</mark> /64	Subnet Mara Cairoli
2001:4c00:893b <mark>:deaf::/</mark> 64	Hotspot Mara

### Ninux Rome

- IPv4 + IPv6 networks
  - Uplinks:
    - BGP peerings (both IPv6 and IPv4)
    - DSLs (IPv4 only)
  - Multipath routing already in place!
- By using MultiPath-TCP VPNs perhaps we could:
  - aggregate uplink bandwidth
  - have more resiliency

#### Binder: A System to Aggregate Multiple Internet Gateways in Community Networks

Luca Boccassi<sup>\*</sup> Cisco Systems Iboccass@cisco.com

Marwan M. Fayed University of Stirling mmf@cs.stir.ac.uk Mahesh K. Marina University of Edinburgh mmarina@inf.ed.ac.uk

• Aim: use different community network internet gateways, for:

- Bandwidth aggregation
- Load balancing
- Fault tolerance
- Relies on:
  - OpenVPN over MultiPath-TCP
  - Loose source routing

### Binder



Figure 1: (a) Binder components in a community network context; (b) Binder software architecture.

# **Binder Limitations**

#### Why TCP Over TCP Is A Bad Idea

A frequently occurring idea for IP tunneling applications is to run a protocol like PPP, which encapsulates IP packets in a format suited for a stream transport (like a modem line), over a TCP-based connection. This would be an easy solution for encrypting tunnels by running PPP over SSH, for which several recommendations already exist (one in the Linux HOWTO base, one on my own website, and surely several others). It would also be an easy way to compress arbitrary IP traffic, while datagram based compression has hard to overcome efficiency limits.

Unfortunately, it doesn't work well. Long delays and frequent connection aborts are to be expected. Here is why.

http://sites.inka.de/bigred/devel/tcp-tcp.html

- **TCP over TCP** is a bad idea
  - The upper TCP assumes that packet loss will not be handled by the layers below
- TCP over wireless is also a bad idea
  - TCP assumes that packet loss is caused by congestion
  - Packets lost due to interference trigger congestion control mechanisms
- TCP over TCP over wireless looks like a very bad idea
- Loose source routing is deprecated
- Need for an "external" aggregating server
- Binder has been tested in an emulated environment only (AFAIK)

- But: just a few tools are needed and they are easily available:
  - MP-TCP kernel
  - OpenVPN (or other VPNs that can use TCP)
- So why not give it a try?



- Testbeds:
  - GNS-3 emulation
    - Different link speeds and latencies (~5-10Mbps, ~10-100ms delay)
  - Real World testbed
    - (slow ~8Mbps) Wi-Fi connection, 3G connection, ethernet
- Tried:
  - OpenVPN (over TCP)
  - tinc-VPN (over TCP)
  - vtund (TCP mode)
  - ssh -w
  - socat



- Disclaimer:
  - Only some very preliminary tests were performed!
- Tested using UDP (iperf)
  - To work around TCP-in-TCP effects
- Observations:
  - Packets are going through different links at the beginning, but then they use one link only
    - The one with the smallest latency
  - Instability
    - In the emulated network packets sometimes used both links (with vtund!)
      - But: both links used at the speed of the link with the smallest latency
        - BDP does not count!?
- Is userspace processing increasing latency too much?
- Is it really worth investigating further?

### So...

- VPNs over MP-TCP seem wrong anyway
  - Worth trying just because all tools are easily available
- Other ways to go:
  - Migrating operating systems to multipath TCP?
  - Stop using TCP sockets and have application-level multipath support?
- An UDP-based multipath VPN sounds great!
  - It could get rid of TCP-specific issues
    - TCP in TCP
    - TCP over wireless
  - No need to change end host operating systems or applications
  - Perhaps it could be based on existing source code:
    - MP-MOSH ?
    - QUIC ?

### Thank you

### References

- MultiPath TCP: http://multipath-tcp.org/
- http://wiki.ninux.org
- Ninux Rome Routing Architecture: https://blog.ninux.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/NinuxRoma-RoutingArchitecture-DocumentVersion0.pdf
- Why TCP over TCP is a Bad Idea http://sites.inka.de/bigred/devel/tcp-tcp.html
- Binder: http://www.cs.stir.ac.uk/~mmf/res/pubs/lcdnet13.pdf